

Appendix 7

Summary of comments included in responses submitted to B.D.C.

1. The people who should vote should be those in Wards A and B only to decide whether they wish to be part of Hagley as due to the number of houses in Hagley West ward, they are bound to out-vote areas A & B
2. s92 of the Local Government etc Act 2007 provides that the review may make a recommendation to the Electoral commission for consequential changes to district ward boundaries.
3. With 53% of Clent's parishioners living in Hagley West and 80% of their budget spent in Clent village I believe there is a case for the parting of the ways and Clent should be encouraged to support themselves allowing West Hagley residents to financially contribute to Hagley parish Council, whose facilities they use on a daily basis.
4. I live in Clent Parish and I wish to stay in Clent Parish. Had I wished to live in Hagley parish I would have bought a house in Hagley Parish but that is not what I want.

In my opinion the proposal is akin to Russia's interest in the Ukraine, trying to invade land outside its boundary. Hagley, which has almost run out of development land would be better off if the invasion was successful but not the Clent parishioners.

My understanding of the "vote is that all Hagley Parish residents have a vote as do all the residents of Clent Parish and not just those affected by the proposal. As there are substantially more residents /voters in Hagley parish then surely the outcome is a foregone conclusion.

I feel a member of an oppressed minority.

5. [There was a lengthy complaint at how poorly Clent Parish Council had responded to a matter of concern raised by a Clent parish Council resident regarding a road safety issue.]